# Fixed Point Theorems for kg-Contractive Mappings in a Complete Strong Fuzzy Metric Space

Kandala Kanakamahalakshmi Sarma\* and Yohannes Gebru Aemro

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new class of contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces and we present some fixed point results for this class of maps.

Keywords: Fixed points, strong fuzzy metric space, generalized kg-contractive mappings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

How to cite this article

K. K. M. Sarma, Y. G. Aemro, Fixed point theorems for kg-contractive mappings in a complete strong fuzzy metric space, *Math. Interdisc. Res.* **3** (2018) 15-29.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Micálek [7]. Afterwards, George and Veeramani [1] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space due to [7]. Later on, Gregori and Sapene [4] introduced fuzzy contraction mappings and proved a fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space in the sense of George and Veeramani. In particular, Miheţ enlarged the class of fuzzy contractive mappings of Gregori and Sapene [4] in a complete non-Archimedean(strong) fuzzy metric space and proved a fuzzy Banach contraction theorem using a strong condition for completeness, now called the completeness in the sense of Grabiec, or G-completeness. Motivated by the "Generalized weak contractions" introduced by Singh et al. [13] in metric spaces. In this paper, we introduce kg-contractive

O2018 University of Kashan

E This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (E-mail: sarmakmkandala@yahoo.in) Academic Editor: Ali Reza Ashrafi Received 24 July 2017, Accepted 10 October 2017 DOI: 10.22052/mir.2017.93427.1070

maps in fuzzy metric spaces and we prove the existence of fixed points in complete strong fuzzy metric spaces.

### 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some basic definitions and results which will be used in the main part of our paper.

**Definition 2.1.** [12] A binary operation  $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is said to be a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions :

- (T1) \* is associative and commutative;
- (T2) \* is continuous;
- (T3) a \* 1 = a for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (T4)  $a * b \le c * d$  whenever  $a \le c$  and  $b \le d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Remark 1. A t-norm \* is called positive if a \* b > 0 for all  $a, b \in (0, 1)$ .

Some examples of continuous t-norms are Lukasievicz t-norm, i.e.  $a *_L b = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$ , product t-norm, i.e. a \* b = ab, and minimum t-norm, i.e.  $a *_M b = \min\{a, b\}$ , for  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

The concept of fuzzy metric space is defined by George and Veeramani [1] as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let X be a nonempty set and \* be a continuous t-norm. Assume that, for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and t, s > 0, a fuzzy set  $M : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (M1) M(x, y, t) > 0,
- (M2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y,
- (M3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),
- (M4)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s),$
- (M5)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then, we call M a fuzzy metric on X, and we call the 3-tuple (X, M, \*) a fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.3.** [5] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. The fuzzy metric M is said to be strong (non- Archimedean) if, for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and each t > 0, it satisfies

$$(M4): M(x, z, t) \ge M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, t).$$

Remark 2. The axiom (M4') cannot replace the axiom (M4) in the definition of fuzzy metric since in that case M could not be a fuzzy metric on X (see Example 8 in [11]).

Note that it is possible to define a strong fuzzy metric by replacing (M4) by (M4') and demanding in (M5) that the function  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  be an increasing continuous function on t, for each  $x, y \in X$  (in fact, in such a case we have  $M(x, z, t + s) \ge M(x, y, t + s) * M(y, z, t + s) \ge M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$ ).

Remark 3. Every fuzzy metric space is not strong fuzzy metric space.

The following example shows that there are non -strong fuzzy metric spaces.

**Example 2.4.** [6] Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}, * = \cdot$  (usual product) and  $M : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined for each t > 0 as M(x, x, t) = M(y, y, t) = M(z, z, t) = 1,  $M(x, z, t) = M(z, x, t) = M(y, z, t) = M(z, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+1}$ ,  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) = \frac{t^2}{(t+2)^2}$ . Then, (X, M, \*) is a non-strong fuzzy metric space.

**Lemma 2.5.** [2] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Remark 4. We observe that 0 < M(x, y, t) < 1 provided  $x \neq y$ , for all t > 0 (see [8]). Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. For t > 0, the open ball B(x, r, t) with a center  $x \in X$  and radius 0 < r < 1 is defined by  $B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r\}.$ 

A subset  $A \subset X$  is called open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exist t > 0 and 0 < r < 1such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset A$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the family of all open subsets of X. Then  $\tau$  is a topology on X, called the topology induced by the fuzzy metric M. This topology is metrizable (see [3]).

**Definition 2.6.** [1] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space.

- 1. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if, for all t > 0,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ .
- 2. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if, for each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and t > 0, there exits  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 \epsilon$ , for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ .
- 3. A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.
- 4. A fuzzy metric space in which every sequence has a convergent subsequence is said to be compact.

Remark 5. In a fuzzy metric space, the limit of a convergent sequence is unique.

**Definition 2.7.** [9] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. Then, the mapping M is said to be continuous on  $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$  if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t),$$

when  $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$  is a sequence in  $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$  which converges to a point  $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ , *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} M(x, y, t_n) = M(x, y, t).$$

**Lemma 2.8.** [10] If (X, M, \*) is a fuzzy metric space, then M is a continuous function on  $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [4] A fuzzy contractive mapping on a fuzzy metric space in the sense of George and Veeramani (X, M, \*) is a self-mapping f of X with the property

$$\frac{1}{M(fx, fy, t)} - 1 \le k(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$
(1)

First, we define the following :

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\psi : (0,1] \to [1,\infty)$  be a function which satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $\psi$  is continuous and non-increasing;

**2.**  $\psi(x) = 1$  if and only if x = 1.

We denote by  $\Psi$  the class of all functions which satisfies the above conditions.

Note that  $\Psi \neq \emptyset$ , in fact the map  $\psi : (0,1] \to [1,\infty)$  defined by  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$  is a member of  $\Psi$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\phi : (0,1] \to (0,1]$  be a function which satisfies the following conditions:

**1.**  $\phi$  is upper semi continuous;

**2.**  $\phi(s) = 1$  if and only if s = 1.

We denote by  $\Phi$  the class of all functions which satisfies the above conditions.

Note that  $\Phi \neq \emptyset$ , in fact the map  $\phi : (0,1] \to (0,1]$  defined by  $\phi(t) = \sqrt{t}$  is a member of  $\Phi$ .

Now, we introduce a kg-contractive mapping in a fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.12.** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. We say that a mapping  $T: X \to X$  is a kg-contractive mapping if there exists  $(\psi, \phi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$  such that

$$M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$$
  
implies  
$$\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t)),$$
(2)

for all x, y in X and t > 0, where

 $N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\}.$ 

**Example 2.13.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $M(x, y, t) = (\frac{t}{t+1})^{d(x,y)}$ , where d(x, y) = |x - y|, and \* be the product continuous t-norm. Here, (X, M, \*) is a complete fuzzy metric space. Let  $T: X \to X$  be a map defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4}, & \text{if } x \leq 1\\ 0, & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

we can easily see that T is a kg-contractive map.

In Section 3, we prove the existence of fixed points of kg-contractive mappings in a complete strong fuzzy metric space.

#### 3. Main Results

The following proposition is important to prove our main result.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space. Let  $T : X \to X$ be a kg-contractive mapping. Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for n = 0, 1, 2, ... If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = 1$  for all t > 0, and the sequence  $\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$  is increasing in [0, 1] for all t > 0, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Since the mapping T is a kg-contractive map, there exists  $(\psi, \phi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$  such that

$$\psi(M(Tx,Ty,t)) \le \psi(N(x,y,t))\phi(N(x,y,t))),\tag{3}$$

for all x, y in X and t > 0.

Suppose that sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $t_0 > 0$  such that for all  $k \ge 1$ , there are positive integers  $m(k), n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m(k) > n(k) \ge k$  such that

$$M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \le 1 - \epsilon.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

We assume that m(k) is the least integer exceeding n(k) and satisfies the above inequality, that is equivalent to

$$M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}, t_0) > 1 - \epsilon$$
 and  $M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \le 1 - \epsilon$ .

Now we have

$$1 - \epsilon \ge M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}, t_0) * M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0)$$
  
>  $(1 - \epsilon) * M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0).$ 

Then  $\lim_{k\to\infty} (1-\epsilon) * M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = 1-\epsilon$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0)$$

exists and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon$ .

First we prove that

(i)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon;$ 

(i) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon;$$

(iii)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon.$ 

Since

$$M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \geq M(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}, t_0) \\
 *M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) \\
 *M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0)

 (5)$$

and

by taking the limit superior in (5) and the limit inferior in (6), we get

$$1 - \epsilon \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$
(8)

Since the limit superior is always greater than or equal to the limit inferior, from (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon,$$
  
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0)$  exists and equal to  $1 - \epsilon$ . Thus (i) holds. We now prove (ii). By condition (M4') of the strong fuzzy metric space, we have

$$M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \ge M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) * M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0),$$
(9)

and

$$M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \ge M(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}, t_0) * M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0).$$
(10)

Taking the limit inferior in (9) and the limit superior in (10) as  $k \to \infty$ , we have

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

and

$$1 - \epsilon \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0).$$

This implies

$$1 - \epsilon \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \ge \liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0)$  exists and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon$ , which proves (ii). We now prove (iii). By the condition (M4') in a strong fuzzy metric space, we have

$$M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) * M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0),$$
(11)

and

$$M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}, t_0) * M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0).$$
(12)

Taking the limit inferior in (11) and the limit superior in (12) as  $k \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

and

$$1 - \epsilon \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0).$$

This implies

$$1 - \epsilon \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge \liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon.$$

Hence  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0)$  exists and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon$ , so (iii) holds.

Now, since  $m(k) + 1 > m(k) \ge n(k) + 1 > n(k)$  and  $\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$  is increasing for any t, it follows that  $M(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}, t_0) \ge M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0)$ . On the other hand, from the property of the fuzzy metric space we have

$$M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) * M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0) \le M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0).$$

Thus,

$$M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) * M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0) \le M(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}, t_0).$$

From (3) we have

$$\psi(M(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0)) = \psi(M(Tx_{m(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}, t_0))$$

$$\leq \psi(N(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}, t_0))\phi(N(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0)),$$
(13)

where

$$N(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = \min\{M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0), M(x_{m(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}, t_0), M(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}, t_0), \max\{M(x_{m(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}, t_0), M(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}, t_0)\}\}.$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{split} N(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) &= \min\{M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0), M(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}, t_0), \\ & M(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0), \\ & \max\{M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}, t_0), M(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}, t_0)\}\}. \end{split}$$

As  $k \to \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} N(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t_0) = 1 - \epsilon.$$
(14)

Since  $\psi$  is continuous and  $\phi$  is upper semi continuous, by taking the limit superior as  $k \to \infty$  in (13), it follows that

$$\psi(1-\epsilon) \le \psi(1-\epsilon)\phi(1-\epsilon).$$

So that,  $\phi(1 - \epsilon) = 1$ . Hence from the property of  $\phi$ , we have  $\epsilon = 0$ , which contradicts that  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be kg-contractive mapping. Then, T has a unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary element of X. We define a sequence  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is the fixed point of T.

Assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

Since T is kg-contractive mapping, there exists  $(\phi, \psi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$  such that

$$\begin{split} M(x,y,t) * M(y,Ty,t) &\leq M(x,Tx,t) \\ \text{implies that} \\ \psi(M(Tx,Ty,t)) &\leq \psi(N(x,y,t))\phi(N(x,y,t)) \end{split}$$

for all x, y in X and t > 0. Thus, for  $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ , we have

$$M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \le M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t).$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(M(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, t)) \le \psi(N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t))\phi(N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)),$$
(15)

where

$$N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \min\{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \\ \max\{M(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, t), M(x_n, Tx_n, t)\}\}$$
  
= min{ $M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \\ \max\{M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t), M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}\}$   
= min{ $M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)\}.$ 

If  $\min\{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)\} = M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)$  for some *n* and t > 0, then from (15), we have

$$\psi(M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)) \le \psi(M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t))\phi(M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)).$$
(16)

This implies that  $\phi(M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)) = 1$ . By the property of  $\phi$ , we have  $M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 1$ . Thus  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ . This contradicts  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all n. Therefore  $\min\{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)\} = M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$  for all n and t > 0. Thus from (15) we have

$$\psi(M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)) \le \psi(M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t))\phi(M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)) < \psi(M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)).$$

Since  $\psi$  is non-increasing  $M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \ge M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)$  for each n and t > 0. Therefore, for every t > 0,  $\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$  is an increasing sequence of real numbers in (0, 1]. Since every bounded and monotone sequence is convergent,  $\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$  converges to some number in (0, 1].

Let  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = l_t$ . We Show that  $l_t = 1$ , for all t > 0.

Let t > 0, by taking the limit superior as  $k \to \infty$  in the inequality (16), the continuity of  $\psi$  and the upper semi continuity  $\phi$  show that  $\phi(l_t) \ge 1$ . Hence, by the property of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi(l_t) = 1$  implies  $l_t = 1$ . Now by Proposition 3.1, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

Since X is a complete strong fuzzy metric space, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \to z$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We show that z is a fixed point of T.

(i) Suppose there exist a positive integer k and  $t_k > 0$  such that

$$M(x_n, z, t_k) * M(z, Tz, t_k) > M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_k)$$
(17)

for all  $n \ge k$ . Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (17) we get  $M(z, Tz, t_k) \ge 1$ , which implies that Tz = z.

(ii) Suppose for each positive integer k and t > 0, there exists  $n_k \ge k$  such that

$$M(x_{n_k}, z, t) * M(z, Tz, t) \le M(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, t).$$

Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$M(x_{n_k}, z, t) * M(z, Tz, t) \le M(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, t).$$

Hence, from (2) we have

$$\psi(M(Tz, x_{n_k+1}, t)) \le \psi(N(z, x_{n_k}, t))\phi(N(z, x_{n_k}, t)),$$
(18)

where

$$N(z, x_{n_k}, t) = \min\{M(x_{n_k}, z, t), M(z, Tz, t), M(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, t), \\ \max\{M(z, x_{n_k+1}, t), M(x_{n_k}, Tz, t)\}\}.$$

Since  $x_{n_k} \to z$  as  $k \to \infty$  (being a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ ) and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, t) = 1$ , it follows that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} N(z, x_{n_k}, t) = M(z, Tz, t)$ . Since  $\psi$  is continuous and  $\phi$  upper semi continuous, by taking the limit superior in (18) we get

$$\psi(M(Tz, z, t)) \le \psi(M(Tz, z, t))\phi(M(Tz, z, t)).$$

So that  $\phi(M(Tz, z, t)) = 1$ , thus M(Tz, z, t) = 1, and hence Tz = z. Therefore z is a fixed point of T.

Now, we show the uniqueness of fixed points of T. Let u and v be two fixed points of T. Thus, Tu = u and Tv = v. Since T is a kg-contractive map for  $u, v \in X$ , and t > 0 we have

$$M(u, v, t) * M(u, Tu, t) \le M(v, Tv, t),$$
(19)

which implies that

$$\psi(M(u,v,t)) = \psi(M(Tu,Tv,t)) \le \psi(N(u,v,t))\phi(N(u,v,t))$$

where

$$N(u, v, t) = \min\{M(u, v, t), M(u, Tu, t), M(v, Tv, t), \\ \max\{M(u, Tv, t), M(v, Tu, t)\}\}\$$
  
= min{ $M(u, v, t), 1, 1, M(u, v, t)$ } =  $M(u, v, t).$  (20)

From (19) and (20), we observe that

$$\psi(M(u, v, t)) \le \psi(M(u, v, t))\phi((M(u, v, t)).$$

This implies  $\phi((M(u, v, t)) = 1$ . Thus, M(u, v, t) = 1, which implies u = v. Therefore the fixed point of T is unique.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and T be a self map of X. If there exists  $(\psi, \phi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$  such that

$$\psi(M(Tx,Ty,t)) \le \psi(N(x,y,t))\phi(N(x,y,t))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0, where

 $N(x,y,t) = \min\{M(x,y,t), M(x,Tx,t), M(y,Ty,t), \max\{M(x,Ty,t), M(y,Tx,t)\}\}.$ 

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

If we take  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$  and  $\phi(s) = \sqrt{s}$  in Theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and T be a self map of X. For  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  implies that  $M(Tx, Ty, t) \ge \sqrt{N(x, y, t)}$ , where

 $N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\}.$ 

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and T be a self map of X. For  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \leq M(x, Tx, t)$  implies that  $M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \sqrt{M(x, y, t)}$ , then T has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  t > 0. Suppose  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$ . Then  $M(Tx, Ty, t) \ge \sqrt{M(x, y, t)}$ . Since  $N(x, y, t) \le M(x, y, t)$ , where

 $N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\},\$ 

we have  $M(Tx, Ty, t) \ge \sqrt{N(x, y, t)}$ . Therefore, by Corollary 3.4, T has a unique fixed point.

By taking  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$  and  $\phi(t) = k(1-t)+t$ , for 0 < k < 1 we draw the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and T be a self map of X. For  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  implies  $(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1) \le k(\frac{1}{N(x, y, t)} - 1)$ , where

$$N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\},\$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let (X, M, \*) be a strong fuzzy metric space and T be a self map of X. For  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \leq M(x, Tx, t)$  implies  $(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1) \leq k(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1)$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0, and  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx,Ty,t)}-1\right) \le k\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)}-1\right)$$

Since  $N(x, y, t) \leq M(x, y, t)$  where

 $N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\}.$ 

But  $k(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)}-1) \leq k(\frac{1}{N(x,y,t)}-1)$ , thus  $(\frac{1}{M(Tx,Ty,t)}-1) \leq k(\frac{1}{N(x,y,t)}-1)$ . By Corollary 3.6, T has a unique fixed point.

#### 4. Examples

In this section, we provide some examples in support of the main results of Section 3. The following example is in support of Theorem 3.2.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = \{(1, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1)\}$  and  $M(x, y, t) = (\frac{t}{t+1})^{d(x,y)}$ , where  $d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$ , and  $x = (x_1, y_1), y = (x_2, y_2)$ , \* be the product continuous t-norm. Here (X, M, \*) is a complete strong fuzzy metric space. Let  $T: X \to X$  be a map defined by

$$T(x_1, y_1) = \begin{cases} (1, 1), & \text{if } x_1 \le y_1 \\ (1, 4), & \text{if } x_1 > y_1 \end{cases}$$

Then, T is a kg-contractive map for  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$  and  $\phi(t) = \sqrt{t}$ . Clearly,  $(\psi, \phi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$ . Now we wish to show

$$\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t)).$$
(21)

(i) Let (x, y) = ((1, 1), (1, 1)), T(1, 1) = (1, 1) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here M(x, y, t) = 1, M(Tx, Ty, t) = 1, M(x, Tx, t) = 1, M(y, Ty, t) = 1, M(x, Ty, t) = 1 and M(y, Tx, t) = 1. It follows that  $M(x, y, t)*M(y, Ty, t) \leq M(x, Tx, t)$  and N(x, y, t) = 1. Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = 1, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = 1$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = 1$ , which implies that

$$\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t)).$$

- (ii) Let (x, y) = ((1, 4), (1, 4)), T(1, 4) = (1, 1) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = 1, M(Tx, Ty, t) = 1, M(x, Tx, t) = a^3, M(y, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Ty, t) = a^3$  and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^3$ . It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^3$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = 1, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-3}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Since  $0 > -3 + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{-3}{2}$ , we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .
- (iii) Let (x, y) = ((4, 1), (4, 1)), T(4, 1) = (1, 4) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here M(x, y, t) = 1, M(Tx, Ty, t) = 1,  $M(x, Tx, t) = a^6$ ,  $M(y, Ty, t) = a^6$ ,  $M(x, Ty, t) = a^6$  and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^6$ . It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^6$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = 1$ ,  $\psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-6}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^3$ . Since 0 > -3, we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .
- (iv) Let (x, y) = ((1, 1), (1, 4)), T(1, 1) = (1, 1), T(1, 4) = (1, 1) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = a^3, M(Tx, Ty, t) = 1, M(x, Tx, t) = 1, M(y, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Ty, t) = 1$  and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^3$ . It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^3$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = 1, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-3}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Since  $0 > \frac{-3}{2}$ , we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .

- (v) Let (x, y) = ((1, 1), (4, 1)), T(1, 1) = (1, 1), T(4, 1) = (1, 4) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = a^3, M(Tx, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Tx, t) = 1, M(y, Ty, t) = a^6, M(x, Ty, t) = a^3$  and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^3$ . It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^6$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = a^{-3}, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-6}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^3$ . Since  $-3 \ge -6 + 3$ , we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .
- (vi) Let (x, y) = ((1, 4), (4, 1)), T(1, 4) = (1, 1), T(4, 1) = (1, 4) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = a^6$ ,  $M(Tx, Ty, t) = a^3$ ,  $M(x, Tx, t) = a^3$ ,  $M(y, Ty, t) = a^6$ , M(x, Ty, t) = 1 and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^3$ . It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^6$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = a^{-3}, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-6}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^3$ . Since  $-3 \ge -6 + 3$ , we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .
- (vii) Let (x, y) = ((4, 1), (1, 4)), T(4, 1) = (1, 4), T(1, 4) = (1, 1) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = a^6, M(Tx, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Tx, t) = a^6, M(y, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Ty, t) = a^3$  and M(y, Tx, t) = 1. It follows that  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t) = a^6$ . Then  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = a^{-3}, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-6}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^3$ . Since  $-3 \ge -6 + 3$ , we have  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t))$ .
- (viii) Let (x, y) = ((4, 1), (1, 1)), T(4, 1) = (1, 4), T(1, 1) = (1, 1) and let  $a = \frac{t}{t+1}$ . Here  $M(x, y, t) = a^3, M(Tx, Ty, t) = a^3, M(x, Tx, t) = a^6, M(y, Ty, t) = 1, M(x, Ty, t) = a^3$  and  $M(y, Tx, t) = a^3$ . Now in this case M(x, y, t) \* M(y, Ty, t) > M(x, Tx, t), but  $N(x, y, t) = a^6, \psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) = a^{-3}, \psi(N(x, y, t)) = a^{-6}$  and  $\phi(N(x, y, t)) = a^3$  imply that  $\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t)) \phi(N(x, y, t))$ . Thus the relation (2) is true.
  - $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{ix}) \ \ \text{Let} \ (x,y) = ((1,4),(1,1)), T(1,4) = (1,1), T(1,1) = (1,1) \ \text{and} \ \text{let} \ a = \frac{t}{t+1}.\\ \text{Here} \ M(x,y,t) = a^3, \ M(Tx,Ty,t) = 1, \ M(x,Tx,t) = a^3, \ M(y,Ty,t) = 1, \\ M(x,Ty,t) = a^3 \ \text{and} \ M(y,Tx,t) = 1. \ \text{It follows that} \ M(x,y,t) \ast M(y,Ty,t) \\ \leq M(x,Tx,t) \ \text{and} \ N(x,y,t) = a^3. \ \text{Then} \ \psi(M(Tx,Ty,t)) = 1, \ \psi(N(x,y,t)) \\ = a^{-3} \ \text{and} \ \phi(N(x,y,t)) = a^{\frac{3}{2}}. \ \text{Since} \ -0 \geq -3 + \frac{3}{2}, \ \text{we have} \ \psi(M(Tx,Ty,t)) \leq \\ \psi(N(x,y,t))\phi(N(x,y,t)). \end{array}$

From (Case i-Case ix) we observe that there exists  $(\psi, \phi) \in \Psi \times \Phi$  such that

$$M(x, y, t) * M(y, Ty, t) \le M(x, Tx, t)$$
  
implies  
$$\psi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \le \psi(N(x, y, t))\phi(N(x, y, t)),$$
(22)

for all x, y in X and t > 0, where

 $N(x, y, t) = \min\{M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t), \max\{M(x, Ty, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}\}.$ 

Therefore, T is a kg-contractive mapping and thus by Theorem 3.2, T has a unique fixed point. In fact, (1, 1) is a fixed point of T.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referees, whose comments and suggestions have been of crucial importance to improve this paper.

**Conflicts of Interest.** The authors declare that there is no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

#### References

- A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64(3) (1994) 395–399.
- [2] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 27(3) (1988) 385–389.
- [3] V. Gregori, S. Romaguera, Some properties of fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 115(3) (2000) 485–489.
- [4] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 125, Issue 2, 2002, 245–252.
- [5] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, Remarks to "On strong intuitionistic fuzzy metrics", submitted to J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl..
- [6] J. Gutiérrez García, S. Romaguera, Examples of non-strong fuzzy metrics, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 162(1) (2011) 91–93.
- [7] I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika* 11(5) (1975) 336–344.
- [8] D. Miheţ, A class of contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161(8) (2010) 1131–1137.
- [9] S. Phiangsungnoen, Y. J. Cho, P. Kumam, Fixed point results for modified various contractions in fuzzy metric spaces via α-admissible, *Filomat* **30**(7) (2016) 1869–1881.
- [10] J. Rodríguez-López, S. Romaguera, The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147(2) (2004) 273–283.
- [11] A. Sapena, S. Morillas, On strong fuzzy metrics, In: Proceedings of the Workshop in Applied Topology WiAT'09 (2009) 135–141.
- [12] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960) 313–334.

[13] S. L. Singh, R. Kamal, M. De la Sen, R. Chugh, A fixed point theorem for generalized weak contractions, *Filomat* 29(7) (2015) 1481–1490.

Kandala Kanakamahalakshmi Sarma Collage of Science and Technology, Andhra University, Department of Mathematics, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India E-mail: sarmakmkandala@yahoo.in

Yohannes Gebru Aemro Collage of Natural and Computational, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 07, Wolkite University, Wolkite, Ethiopia E-mail: yohannesgebru2005@gmail.com