

On the Entropy Rate of a Random Walk on t -Designs

Reza Kahkeshani *

Abstract

In this paper, a random walk on t -designs are considered. We assign a weight to each block and walk randomly on the vertices with a probability proportional to the weight of blocks. This stochastic process is a Markov chain. We obtain a stationary distribution for this process and compute its entropy rate. It is seen that, when the blocks have the same weight, the uniform distribution on the vertices is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate depends only on the number of vertices.

Keywords: random walk, Markov chain, design, entropy rate, stationary distribution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J10, Secondary 60G50, 05B99, 94A17.

How to cite this article

R. Kahkeshani, On the Entropy rate of a random walk on t -designs, *Math. Interdisc. Res.* 5 (2020) 295 – 301.

1. Introduction

Let X be a discrete random variable with alphabet \mathcal{X} and probability mass function $p(x) = \Pr\{X = x\}$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$. The entropy $H(X)$ of X is defined as

$$H(X) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x),$$

where logarithm is to the base 2 and entropy is expressed in bits. Here, the convention $0 \log 0 = 0$ will be used. The entropy $H(X)$ is a measure of the uncertainty of X and moreover, it is a measure of the amount of information required on the

*Corresponding author (E-mail: kahkeshanireza@kashanu.ac.ir)

Academic Editor: Mohammad Reza Darafsheh

Received 28 May 2020, Accepted 2 August 2020

DOI: 10.22052/mir.2020.233084.1214

©2020 University of Kashan

 This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

average to describe X . Let (X, Y) be a pair of discrete random variables with a joint distribution $p(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. The joint entropy $H(X, Y)$ is defined by

$$H(X, Y) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \log p(x, y).$$

Similarly, the entropy of a collection of random variables, such as $H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, is defined.

A stochastic process $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be defined as an indexed sequence of random variables. This process is characterized by the probability mass functions

$$\Pr\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\} = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This process is called to be stationary if $\Pr\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}$ is equal to $\Pr\{(X_{l+1}, X_{l+2}, \dots, X_{l+n}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}$, for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and every shift l . A Markov chain is a stochastic process $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_1 = x_1\}$ is equal to $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n\}$, for all x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} in \mathcal{X} . A Markov chain $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called to be time invariant if $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = b | X_n = a\} = \Pr\{X_2 = b | X_1 = a\}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$. It is easy to see that a time invariant Markov chain with alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ can be characterized by an initial state and a probability transition matrix $P = (p_{ij})$, where $p_{ij} = \Pr\{X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i\}$. A distribution μ on \mathcal{X} is said to be stationary if $\mu P = \mu$. In other words, μ is a distribution on the states such that the distributions at the successive times are the same. The entropy rate of a stochastic process $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

when the limit exists. Also, a related quantity for entropy rate is defined by

$$H'(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(X_n | X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots, X_1),$$

when the limit exists. These two quantities correspond to different notions. It can be shown that if $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a stationary Markov chain then $H(\mathcal{X}) = H'(\mathcal{X}) = H(X_2 | X_1)$. See [2, 6, 7] for more details and examples.

In this paper, motivated by a random walk on a weighted graph [2], a random walk on t -designs are considered. We assign a weight to each block and walk randomly on the vertices with a probability proportional to the weight of blocks. This stochastic process is a Markov chain. We obtain a stationary distribution for this process and compute its entropy rate. It is seen that, when the blocks have the same weight, the uniform distribution on the vertices is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate depends only on the number of vertices. For more information and some new results on random walks, entropy rates and their applications, please see [3, 5, 8].

2. t -Designs

Let $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be an incidence structure which consists of point set \mathcal{P} , block set \mathcal{B} and an incidence relation $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$. The elements of \mathcal{I} are called flags and the notation $p\mathcal{I}B$ means that $(p, B) \in \mathcal{I}$. A block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is sometimes identified with the set of points p incident with it. Here, \mathcal{I} is in fact the membership relation \in . If we replace each block of \mathcal{S} by its complement then we obtain the complement of the structure, denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$. The dual of $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is the incidence structure $\mathcal{S}^\top = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}^\top)$, where $B\mathcal{I}^\top p$ if and only if $p\mathcal{I}B$. The incidence matrix of \mathcal{S} is a matrix M of size $|\mathcal{P}| \times |\mathcal{B}|$ whose rows and columns are labeled by points and blocks, respectively, such that the entry (p, B) is 1 if and only if p is incident with B , and 0 otherwise. The incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is called a t - (v, k, λ) design if $|\mathcal{P}| = v$, $|B| = k$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and every t distinct points are incident with precisely λ blocks. It is known that the number of blocks, denoted by b , is equal to $\lambda \binom{v}{t} / \binom{k}{t}$. The design \mathcal{D} is called trivial if \mathcal{B} consists of all the k -subsets of \mathcal{P} . If $v = b$ then \mathcal{D} is called symmetric. It is well-known that the number of blocks incident with s points ($s \leq t$), denoted by λ_s , is independent of the set and $\lambda_s = \lambda \binom{v-s}{t-s} / \binom{k-s}{t-s}$. Therefore, every t - (v, k, λ) design is also an s - (v, k, λ_s) design, where $s \leq t$. The complement of a t - (v, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is also a design $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ with parameters t - $(v, v - k, \bar{\lambda})$, where $\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{s=0}^t (-1)^s \binom{t}{s} \lambda_s$. The number of blocks incident with any point, λ_1 , is also denoted by r and called the replication number. If \mathcal{D} is a t - (v, k, λ) design then \mathcal{D}^\top is a design with b points such that its block size is r . If M is the incidence matrix of \mathcal{D} then the incidence matrix of \mathcal{D}^\top is M^\top . It can be shown that if \mathcal{D} is a 2- (v, k, λ) design then $bk = vr$ and $\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1)$. For more details, see [1, 4].

3. Results

Let the incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a t - (v, k, λ) design with the vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, v\}$. To each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we assign a weight $\omega(B) \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R} and set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\ \omega_i &= \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\ \omega_{ij} &= \sum_{i, j \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \neq j$. In other words, ω_i is the sum of the weights of all blocks containing the vertex i and ω_{ij} is also the sum of the weights of all blocks

containing the points i and j . Note that for any vertex i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ j \neq i}} \omega_{ij} &= \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ j \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i, j \in B}} \omega(B) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i \in B}} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \neq j \in B}} \omega(B) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i \in B}} (k-1)\omega(B) \\
 &= (k-1)\omega_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

A random walk $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{D} is a sequence of points of \mathcal{D} in such a way that $X_n = i$ and $X_{n+1} = j$ if there exists a block B containing the points i and j . Moreover, we walk from i to j with the probability $p_{ij} = \omega_{ij} / ((k-1)\omega_i)$. As it is seen, we walk randomly from the vertex i to the vertex j with a probability proportional to the weight of the blocks containing i and j , and the values $\{p_{ij}\}_{1 \leq j \leq v}$ form a mass probability function. By definition, this stochastic process is a Markov chain with the probability transition matrix $P = (p_{ij})_{v \times v}$. Set $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_v)$, where $\mu_i = \omega_i / (k\omega)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq v$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^v \mu_i &= \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \\
 &= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B) \\
 &= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \in B \in \mathcal{B}}} \omega(B) \\
 &= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} k\omega(B) \\
 &= 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

μ is a probability distribution on the points \mathcal{P} . Moreover, for any $1 \leq j \leq v$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l=1}^v \mu_l p_{lj} &= \sum_{l=1}^v \frac{\omega_l}{k\omega} \frac{\omega_{lj}}{(k-1)\omega_l} \\
 &= \frac{1}{k(k-1)\omega} \sum_{l=1}^v \omega_{lj} \\
 &= \frac{\omega_j}{k\omega} \\
 &= \mu_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, μ is also a stationary distribution. Now, the entropy rate of this process is

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\mathcal{X}) &= H(X_2|X_1) \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^v \mu_i \sum_{j=1}^v p_{ij} \log p_{ij} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \log \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \\
 &= H\left(\dots, \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega}, \dots\right) - H\left(\dots, \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega}, \dots\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the following theorem is implied:

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a t - (v, k, λ) design. Assign a non-negative real number $\omega(B)$ to each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega &= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\
 \omega_i &= \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\
 \omega_{ij} &= \sum_{i, j \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $i \neq j \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a random walk on the points of \mathcal{D} with the probability transition matrix $P = (p_{ij})_{v \times v}$, where $p_{ij} = \omega_{ij}/((k-1)\omega_i)$. Set $\mu_i = \omega_i/(k\omega)$, where $1 \leq i \leq v$. Then, $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Markov chain with the stationary distribution $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_v)$ and the entropy rate

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = H\left(\dots, \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega}, \dots\right) - H\left(\dots, \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega}, \dots\right).$$

Note that if all the blocks have equal weight then $p_{ij} = \lambda_2/(r(k-1))$ and $\mu_i = r/(kb) = 1/v$. Also,

$$\frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} = \frac{r}{kb} = \frac{1}{v},$$

and

$$\frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} = \frac{\lambda_2}{k(k-1)b} = \frac{1}{v(v-1)}.$$

Hence, in this case, the uniform distribution on \mathcal{P} is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate is

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{X}) &= H(\dots, \frac{1}{v(v-1)}, \dots) - H(\dots, \frac{1}{v}, \dots) \\ &= \log(v-1). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her suggestions and comments. This work is partially supported by the University of Kashan under grant number 985983/1.

Conflicts of Interest. The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- [1] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, Volume I, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, 2006.
- [3] R. Li and W. Heidrich, Hierarchical and view-invariant light field segmentation by maximizing entropy rate on 4D ray graphs, *ACM Trans. Graph.* **38** (6) (2019) Article 167.
- [4] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [5] H. Ni and X. Niu, Agglomerative oversegmentation using dual similarity and entropy rate, *Pattern Recogn.* **93** (2019) 324 – 336.
- [6] N. Privault, *Understanding Markov Chains: Examples and Applications*, 2nd edition, Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [7] S. M. Ross, *Stochastic Processes*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [8] R. J. H. Ross, C. Strandkvist and W. Fontana, Compressibility of random walker trajectories on growing networks, *Phys. Lett. A* **383** (17) (2019) 2028 – 2032.

Reza Kahkeshani
Department of Pure Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Kashan,
Kashan, I. R. Iran
e-mail: kahkeshanireza@kashanu.ac.ir