# On the Entropy Rate of a Random Walk on *t*-Designs

Reza Kahkeshani \*

#### Abstract

In this paper, a random walk on *t*-designs are considered. We assign a weight to each block and walk randomly on the vertices with a probability proportional to the weight of blocks. This stochastic process is a Markov chain. We obtain a stationary distribution for this process and compute its entropy rate. It is seen that, when the blocks have the same weight, the uniform distribution on the vertices is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate depends only on the number of vertices.

Keywords: random walk, Markov chain, design, entropy rate, stationary distribution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J10, Secondary 60G50, 05B99, 94A17.

How to cite this article

R. Kahkeshani, On the Entropy Rate of a Random Walk on t-Designs, Math. Interdisc. Res.  $\mathbf{x}$  (202 $\mathbf{x}$ ) xx-yy.

# 1. Introduction

Let X be a discrete random variable with alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$  and probability mass function  $p(x) = \Pr\{X = x\}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ . The entropy H(X) of X is defined as

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x),$$

where logarithm is to the base 2 and entropy is expressed in bits. Here, the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$  will be used. The entropy H(X) is a measure of the uncertainty of X and moreover, it is a measure of the amount of information required on the

DOI: 10.22052/mir.2020.233084.1214

©2020 University of Kashan

E This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (E-mail: kahkeshanireza@kashanu.ac.ir)

Academic Editor: Mohammad Reza Darafsheh

Received 28 May 2020, Accepted 2 August 2020

average to describe X. Let (X, Y) be a pair of discrete random variables with a joint distribution  $p(x, y), (x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . The joint entropy H(X, Y) is defined by

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log p(x,y).$$

Similarly, the entropy of a collection of random variables, such as  $H(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ , is defined.

A stochastic process  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be defined as an indexed sequence of random variables. This process is characterized by the probability mass functions

$$\Pr\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\} = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This process is called to be stationary if  $\Pr\{(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\}$  is equal to  $\Pr\{(X_{l+1}, X_{l+2}, \ldots, X_{l+n}) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\}$ , for all  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  and every shift l. A Markov chain is a stochastic process  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1 = x_1\}$  is equal to  $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n\}$ , for all  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ in  $\mathcal{X}$ . A Markov chain  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called to be time invariant if  $\Pr\{X_{n+1} = b | X_n = a\} = \Pr\{X_2 = b | X_1 = a\}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is easy to see that a time invariant Markov chain with alphabet  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  can be characterized by an initial state and a probability transition matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$ , where  $p_{ij} = \Pr\{X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i\}$ . A distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be stationary if  $\mu P = P$ . In other words,  $\mu$  is a distribution on the states such that the distributions at the successive times are the same. The entropy rate of a stochastic process  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a stochastic process of  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a stochastic process

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

when the limit exists. Also, a related quantity for entropy rate is defined by

$$H'(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(X_n | X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots, X_1),$$

when the limit exists. These two quantities correspond to different notions. It can be shown that if  $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a stationary Markov chain then  $H(\mathcal{X}) = H'(\mathcal{X}) =$  $H(X_2|X_1)$ . See [2, 6, 7] for more details and examples.

In this paper, motivated by a random walk on a weighted graph [2], a random walk on *t*-designs are considered. We assign a weight to each block and walk randomly on the vertices with a probability proportional to the weight of blocks. This stochastic process is a Markov chain. We obtain a stationary distribution for this process and compute its entropy rate. It is seen that, when the blocks have the same weight, the uniform distribution on the vertices is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate depends only on the number of vertices. For more information and some new results on random walks, entropy rates and their applications, please see [3, 5, 8].

### 2. *t*-Designs

Let  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$  be an incidence structure which consists of point set  $\mathcal{P}$ , block set  $\mathcal{B}$  and an incidence relation  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  are called flags and the notation  $p\mathcal{I}B$  means that  $(p, B) \in \mathcal{I}$ . A block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is sometimes identified with the set of points p incident with it. Here,  $\mathcal{I}$  is in fact the membership relation  $\in$ . If we replace each block of  $\mathcal{S}$  by its complement then we obtain the complement of the structure, denoted by  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ . The dual of  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$  is the incidence structure  $\mathcal{S}^{\top} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}^{\top})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{I}^{\top}p$  if and only if  $p\mathcal{I}B$ . The incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a matrix M of size  $|\mathcal{P}| \times |\mathcal{B}|$  whose rows and columns are labled by points and blocks, respectively, such that the entry (p, B) is 1 if and only if p is incident with B, and 0 otherwise. The incidence structure  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$  is called a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ design if  $|\mathcal{P}| = v$ , |B| = k for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and every t distinct points are incident with precisely  $\lambda$  blocks. It is known that the number of blocks, denoted by b, is equal to  $\lambda {\binom{v}{t}}/{\binom{k}{t}}$ . The design  $\mathcal{D}$  is called trivial if  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of all the k-subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If v = b then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called symmetric. It is well-known that the number of blocks incident with s points (s  $\leq t$ ), denoted by  $\lambda_s$ , is independent of the set and  $\lambda_s = \lambda {\binom{v-s}{t-s}} / {\binom{k-s}{t-s}}$ . Therefore, every t- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is also an s- $(v, k, \lambda_s)$  design, where  $s \leq t$ . The complement of a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design  $\mathcal{D}$  is also a design  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$  with parameters t- $(v, v - k, \overline{\lambda})$ , where  $\overline{\lambda} = \sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s {t \choose s} \lambda_s$ . The number of blocks incident with any point,  $\lambda_1$ , is also denoted by r and called the replication number. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design then  $\mathcal{D}^{\top}$  is a design with b points such that its block size is r. If M is the incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{D}$  then the incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{D}^{\top}$  is  $M^{\top}$ . It can be shown that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design then bk = vr and  $\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1)$ . For more details, see [1, 4].

#### 3. Results

Let the incidence structure  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$  be a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design with the vertex set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, v\}$ . To each block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we assign a weight  $\omega(B) \ge 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and set

$$\begin{split} \omega &= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\ \omega_i &= \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \\ \omega_{ij} &= \sum_{i, j \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B), \end{split}$$

where  $i, j \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $i \neq j$ . In other words,  $\omega_i$  is the sum of the weights of all blocks containing the vertex i and  $\omega_{ij}$  is also the sum of the weights of all blocks

containing the points i and j. Note that for any vertex i, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ j \neq i}} \omega_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ j \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i, j \in B}} \omega(B)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i \in B}} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \neq j \in B}} \omega(B)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ i \in B}} (k-1)\omega(B)$$
$$= (k-1)\omega_i.$$

A random walk  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  is a sequence of points of  $\mathcal{D}$  in such a way that  $X_n = i$ and  $X_{n+1} = j$  if there exists a block B containing the points i and j. Moreover, we walk from i to j with the probability  $p_{ij} = \omega_{ij}/((k-1)\omega_i)$ . As it is seen, we walk randomly from the vertex i to the vertex j with a probability proportional to the weight of the blocks containing i and j, and the values  $\{p_{ij}\}_{1\leq j\leq v}$  form a mass probability function. By definition, this stochastic process is a Markov chain with the probability transition matrix  $P = (p_{ij})_{v \times v}$ . Set  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_v)$ , where  $\mu_i = \omega_i/(k\omega)$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq v$ . Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{v} \mu_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{v} \frac{\omega_{i}}{k\omega}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{i=1}^{v} \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B)$$
$$= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \in B \in \mathcal{B}}} \omega(B)$$
$$= \frac{1}{k\omega} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} k\omega(B)$$
$$= 1,$$

 $\mu$  is a probability distribution on the points  $\mathcal{P}$ . Moreover, for any  $1 \leq j \leq v$ ,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{v} \mu_l p_{lj} = \sum_{l=1}^{v} \frac{\omega_l}{k\omega} \frac{\omega_{lj}}{(k-1)\omega_l}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k(k-1)\omega} \sum_{l=1}^{v} \omega_{lj}$$
$$= \frac{\omega_j}{k\omega}$$
$$= \mu_j.$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is also a stationary distribution. Now, the entropy rate of this process is

$$\begin{split} H(\mathcal{X}) &= H(X_2|X_1) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^v \mu_i \sum_{j=1}^v p_{ij} \log p_{ij} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{(k-1)\omega_i} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} \log \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \log \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} \\ &= H\left(\cdots, \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega}, \cdots\right) - H\left(\cdots, \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega}, \cdots\right). \end{split}$$

So, the following theorem is implied:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$  be a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design. Assign a non-negative real number  $\omega(B)$  to each block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and set

$$\omega = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B),$$
$$\omega_i = \sum_{i \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B),$$
$$\omega_{ij} = \sum_{i,j \in B \in \mathcal{B}} \omega(B),$$

for any  $i \neq j \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a random walk on the points of  $\mathcal{D}$  with the probability transition matrix  $P = (p_{ij})_{v \times v}$ , where  $p_{ij} = \omega_{ij}/((k-1)\omega_i)$ . Set  $\mu_i = \omega_i/(k\omega)$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq v$ . Then,  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Markov chain with the stationary distribution  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_v)$  and the entropy rate

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = H\left(\cdots, \frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega}, \cdots\right) - H\left(\cdots, \frac{\omega_i}{k\omega}, \cdots\right).$$

Note that if all the blocks have equal weight then  $p_{ij} = \lambda_2/(r(k-1))$  and  $\mu_i = r/(kb) = 1/v$ . Also,

$$\frac{\omega_i}{k\omega} = \frac{r}{kb} = \frac{1}{v}$$

R. Kahkeshani

and

$$\frac{\omega_{ij}}{k(k-1)\omega} = \frac{\lambda_2}{k(k-1)b} = \frac{1}{v(v-1)}$$

Hence, in this case, the uniform distribution on  $\mathcal P$  is a stationary distribution and the entropy rate is

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = H(\cdots, \frac{1}{v(v-1)}, \cdots) - H(\cdots, \frac{1}{v}, \cdots)$$
$$= \log(v-1).$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her suggestions and comments. This work is partially supported by the University of Kashan under grant number 985983/1.

**Conflicts of Interest.** The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## References

- T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, Volume I, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, 2006.
- [3] R. Li and W. Heidrich, Hierarchical and view-invariant light field segmentation by maximizing entropy rate on 4D ray graphs, ACM Trans. Graph. 38 (6) (2019) Article 167.
- [4] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, A Course in Combinatorics, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [5] H. Ni and X. Niu, Agglomerative oversegmentation using dual similarity and enropy rate, *Pattern Recogn.* 93 (2019) 324 – 336.
- [6] N. Privault, Understanding Markov Chains: Examples and Applications, 2nd edition, Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [7] S. M. Ross, *Stochastic Processes*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [8] R. J. H. Ross, C. Strandkvist and W. Fontana, Compressibility of random walker trajectories on growing networks, *Phys. Lett. A* 383 (17) (2019) 2028– 2032.

Reza Kahkeshani Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Kashan, Kashan, I. R. Iran e-mail: kahkeshanireza@kashanu.ac.ir